



Standardization of Micropropagation Protocol in Rose (*Rosa spp.*) var. Divine

Riya ^{a*}, Lata J. Raval ^a, D. R. Mehta ^a, Rajiv Kumar ^a,
S. B. Chaudhari ^a and Jaimin K. Patel ^a

^a Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/arja/2024/v17i4631>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/129099>

Original Research Article

Received: 28/10/2024

Accepted: 30/12/2024

Published: 30/12/2024

ABSTRACT

The present investigation was carried out to establish a standardized sterilization protocol for explants and regenerate shoots from nodal explants. Results showed that sodium hypochlorite (1%) for 4 min., cefotaxime (0.10%) for 15 min., streptomycin (0.10%) for 15 min., carbendazim (0.20%) for 15 min. and mercuric chloride (0.10%) for 4 min were the most efficient treatment with the least contamination and highest survival rates and media containing 0.50 mg/L TDZ and 3.0 mg/L BAP favored the maximum percentage of shoot initiation (100%) with an average of 4.60 shoots per node within 8.38 days of the culture period resulting longest shoot with 1.88 cm and an average of 4.53 shoots per node within 9.00 days of the culture period resulting longest shoot with 1.86 cm after 30 days of inoculation, respectively. After first sub-culture, it was found that MS medium supplemented with TDZ (0.50 mg/L) and BAP (3.0 mg/L) achieved 100% success rate for shoot multiplication.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: riya24chawla@gmail.com;

Keywords: *Rosa* spp.; sterilization; multiplication; rooting; acclimatization.

1. INTRODUCTION

Rose belongs to the family Rosaceae. They are mostly low or medium sized ornamental shrub, usually with prickly stems. Most of the cultivated roses are found in the temperate zones of the northern hemisphere and yet Asia seems to be the gene centre where the majority of species are found Broertjes and Van Harten, [1]. The chromosome number of rose varies from $2n=2x=14$ to $2n=8x=56$, but most species are diploid or tetraploid. The commercially grown rose cultivars are generally triploid or tetraploid.

The Divine rose is a type of hybrid tea rose plant, known for its beautiful, bright red flowers. The chromosome number of Divine rose is $2n=2x=14$. It is a relatively new rose variety that was developed by the French rose breeder Meilland International in 2012. The Divine rose plant typically grows to a height of about 1 to 1.5 meters and produces large, double blooms that are around 10 to 12 centimeters in diameter. The flowers have a classic rose shape and are deeply fragrant, making them a popular choice for cut flowers and bouquets. The Divine rose plants are also known for their disease resistance, which means they are less prone to developing common rose diseases like black spot or powdery mildew. This makes them easier to care for and maintain than some other types of roses. Roses are loaded with anti-inflammatory and antibacterial property. Divine Rose's 5% essential oil is used in aromatherapy to relieve skin irritations, bruises, minor cuts and burns as well as to help relieve headaches and calm nerves [2].

India is exporting cut roses to the more than 55 countries. However, the export of cut roses from India is less than 1.00 per cent of global export. India holds first position in cut roses production in the world followed by China, Ecuador, Colombia, Kenya, Mexico, Italy, Thailand, Japan and Netherlands. The total area in India under loose rose is 41.30 thousand hectares in the year 2022-23 with production of 210.40 thousand tonnes and productivity of 5092 kilogram per hectare. The total production in India under cut rose is 339.10 thousand tonnes in the year 2022-23. In Gujarat, total area under loose rose is 4.5 thousand hectares in the year 2022-23 with production of 76.4 thousand tonnes and productivity of 1684.7 kilogram per hectare [3].

Roses are the high demanded cut flowers all over India, due to its fragrance and attractive colour. It is widely known for its aesthetic purpose. It also holds major position in pharmaceutical industry. Rose extract and oil has extreme use in cosmetic industry as an ingredient of soap, perfumes and body spray etc. Some of the rose varieties are showing poor germination and slow growth habit if propagated by conventional propagation methods. In this regard, micropropagation techniques will be useful to obtain true-to-type and faster growing rose planting materials. Micropropagation in rose is carried out in order to produce a greater number of the healthy plants. For commercial exploitation on large scale, it is necessary to perform *in vitro* culture.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Plant materials

The experimental material were collected from the *Motibaug* Nursery, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh. Node was used for initiation of *in vitro* culture in Tissue Culture Laboratory, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, JAU, Junagadh.

2.2 Culture Establishment

Explant was first properly cleaned with tap water. All dead and contaminated portions were discarded from nodes and leaves. Then, these cut portions were placed in a clean culture bottle, the mouth of the bottle covered with muslin cloth and then kept under running tap water for 15 min. to remove dust particles and microbes adhering to the surface. Sterilization of the explants was done outside the laminar flow with 2 times tap water wash then sterilized water wash for 2 times then wash with few drops of Tween-20 and carbendazim for 40 min. on shaker and sterilized water wash for 4 times. Explants were treated with four different treatments of sterilization and each treatment was repeated five times. Each repetition consisted of ten test-tubes. Treatment details for sterilization protocol were as per Table 1.

After sterilization, explants were inoculated to Murashige and Skoog (1962) media (without hormones to check fungal and bacterial contamination and to standardize the sterilization

protocol. The fungal and bacterial contamination were recorded after seven to fourteen days. The best treatment was selected and used further for experiment.

2.3 Multiplication Stage Experiments

The explants were transferred to sterile petri dish/autoclaved paper; fresh slant cut was given to the sterilized nodes to remove undesirable or dead portions and to prepare uniform-sized explants (1.0-1.5 cm length) with single node for inoculation. Explants were inoculated on three different treatments of TDZ, four different treatments of BAP and two kinetin along with control and each treatment was repeated four times. Each repetition consisted of twenty test tubes. Each test tubes consisted of single nodal explant (80 explants/treatment). The explants were inoculated vertically into MS medium for keeping the polarity of explant. The medium consists of full MS media with sucrose (3% w/v) and agar (0.8% w/v). The explants were inoculated into MS media devoid of hormones (control) and MS media supplemented with various concentrations TDZ (0.5-2 mg/L), BAP (1.0-4.0 mg/L) and kinetin (0.05-0.1 mg/L)

(Table 2). Cultures were kept in the dark for four days and then exposed to 12 hrs photoperiod. Periodical observations were taken for six weeks periods.

After 6 to 7 weeks periods, individual shoot buds of 1.5-2.0 cm long emerged from the axillary meristem of nodal explants were separated and sub-cultured. The shoot buds were sub-cultured in three different treatments of TDZ, four different treatments of BAP and two different treatments of kinetin (Table 2) for multiplication and each treatment was repeated four times. Each repetition consisted of five test tubes. Each test tubes consisted of four explants (80 explants/treatment). The emergence of new shoot bud from the base of the sub-cultured shoot bud was taken into consideration for calculating shoot multiplication frequencies.

2.4 Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out as per standard statistical methods as suggested by Panse and Sukhatme [4]. Statistical analysis of data from various characters was carried out as per CRD (Completely Randomized Design).

Table 1. Treatment inside laminar air flow

Sterilant Treatments	Sodium hypochlorite 1%	Cefotaxime 0.1%	Streptomycin 0.1%	Carbendazim 0.2%	Mercuric chloride 0.1%
ST ₁	-	10 min	10 min	10 min	5 min
ST ₂	-	15 min	15 min	15 min	3 min
ST ₃	-	20 min	20 min	20 min	5 min
ST ₄	4 min	15 min	15 min	15 min	4 min

Note: ST= Sterilization Treatment

Table 2. Media combination used for *in vitro* shoot regeneration and multiplication

Media code	Medium + Growth hormone
SM ₀ (Control)	MS + 3% sucrose + 0.8% Agar
SM ₁	MS + 0.5 mg/L TDZ + 3% Sucrose + 0.8% Agar
SM ₂	MS + 1 mg/L TDZ + 3% Sucrose + 0.8% Agar
SM ₃	MS + 2 mg/L TDZ + 3% Sucrose + 0.8% Agar
SM ₄	MS + 1 mg/L BAP + 3% Sucrose + 0.8% Agar
SM ₅	MS + 2 mg/L BAP + 3% Sucrose + 0.8% Agar
SM ₆	MS + 3 mg/L BAP + 3% Sucrose + 0.8% Agar
SM ₇	MS + 4 mg/L BAP + 3% Sucrose + 0.8% Agar
SM ₈	MS + 0.05 mg/L kinetin + 3% Sucrose + 0.8% Agar
SM ₉	MS + 0.1 mg/L kinetin + 3% Sucrose + 0.8% Agar

Note: SM= Shooting media

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Sterilization Stage

Analysis of variance revealed significant differences among the mean square due to treatments for surface sterilization (Table 3). The effect of different surface sterilization treatments on fungal and bacterial contamination (%) and survival (%) recorded for nodal explants was presented in Table 4 and graphically represented in Figure 1. The results and discussion related to various treatments tested for surface sterilization for nodal explant, ST₄ [sodium hypochlorite (1%) for 4 min., cefotaxime (0.1%) for 15 min., streptomycin (0.1%) for 15 min., carbendazim (0.2%) for 15 min. and mercuric chloride (0.1%) for 4 min.] was found as the best treatment with the least fungal contamination (11.00%) and bacterial contamination (2.50%) with highest survival (86.50%). Whereas, ST₁ [cefotaxime (0.1%) for 10 min., streptomycin (0.1%) for 10 min., carbendazim (0.2%) for 10 min. and mercuric chloride (0.1%) for 5 min.] was the least

effective treatment in terms of fungal contamination (59.00%) and bacterial contamination (17.50%) with total survival (23.50%). The highest fungal contamination (59.00%) observed in ST₁ followed by ST₂ (43.50%) and ST₃ (29.00%) while the lowest fungal contamination (11.00%) observed in ST₄. In bacterial contamination, ST₁ treatment showed highest percentage of contamination (17.50%) followed by ST₂ (10.50%) and ST₃ (7.00%), while ST₄ treatment showed lowest percentage of contamination (2.5%).

3.2 Multiplication Stage

Analysis of variance revealed significant differences for *in vitro* shoot regeneration (Table 5) and multiplication (Table 6). Response of explants in media supplemented with varied concentrations of TDZ (0.5-2.0 mg/L), BAP (1.0-4.0 mg/L) and kinetin (0.05-0.10 mg/L) are evaluated and recorded under (Table 7) and graphically represented (Figs. 2 and 3).

Table 3. Analysis of variance for fungal and bacterial contamination and survival percentage for surface sterilization in *Rosa* spp

Source of variation	d.f.	Mean sum of square		
		Nodal explant		
		Fungal Contamination (%)	Bacterial Contamination (%)	Survival (%)
Treatments	3	853.64**	202.96**	1367.47**
Error	16	8.51	2.14	13.50

Table 4. Percentage of fungal and bacterial contamination and survival for nodal explants

Treatments	Nodal explant		
	Fungal Contamination (%)	Bacterial Contamination (%)	Survival (%)
ST ₁	49.97 (59.00)	24.65 (17.50)	29.24 (23.50)
ST ₂	41.10 (43.50)	19.19 (10.50)	42.71 (46.00)
ST ₃	31.42 (29.00)	15.54 (7.00)	53.20 (64.00)
ST ₄	19.31 (11.00)	9.48 (2.50)	68.35 (86.50)
S.Em. ±	1.30	0.65	1.64
C.D. at 5%	3.91	1.96	4.93
C.V.%	8.17	8.50	7.59

Table 5 Analysis of variance for experimental design for various observations for shoot regeneration in *Rosa* spp

Source of variation	d.f.	Mean sum of square			
		Days to shoot initiation	Shoot initiation (%)	Number of shoots per explant	Length of shoot (cm)
Treatments	9	38.06**	939.17**	5.36**	0.66**
Error	30	0.19	12.50	0.03	0.01

*** Significant at 1% level of significance

Table 6. Analysis of variance for experimental design for various observations for shoot multiplication in *Rosa* spp

Source of variation	d.f.	Mean sum of square		
		Shoot multiplication (%)	Number of shoots after S.C.	Length of shoot after S.C.
Treatments	6	1282.77**	12.68**	2.41**
Error	21	17.10	0.03	0.02

*** Significant at 1% level of significance, S.C.= Sub-Culture

For days to shoot initiation, SM₁ was found significantly earliest (8.38 days) among all as well as remained statistically at par with SM₆ (9.00 days). Whereas, significantly delayed shoot initiation response was observed in SM₀ (18.43 days). Nizamani et al. [5] found similar result that the number of days for shoot initiation was 12.66 days for BAP 0.5 mg/L and 10.00 days for BAP 2.0 mg/L. For percentage of shoot initiation, SM₁ and SM₆ (100%) were found significantly superior among all treatments as well as remained statistically at par with SM₇ (98.75), SM₂ (97.50%) and SM₅ (96.25%). But control was found least effective for percentage of shoot initiation (58.75%). In the number of shoots per explant, SM₁ gave the best result among all the treatments with an average of 4.60 shoots per explant as well as remained statistically at par with SM₆ with an average of 4.53 shoots per explant. Whereas, control and SM₉ gave poor response with an average of 1.33 shoots per explant. The highest number of shoots per explant (4.53) was observed @ 3.0 mg/L BAP but after this level number of shoots decreased with increasing concentration of BAP (4.0 mg/L BAP, 3.35 number of shoots per explant). In 4.0 mg/L BAP, hyperhydricity was observed. The present findings concurred with Carelli and Echeverrigaray [6]. They also found that the number of shoots increased with increasing concentration of BAP in the media and the elongation decrease but to the specific level (3.0 mg/L) after this level number of shoots decrease with increasing concentration of BAP and hyperhydricity also observed at 5.0 mg/L. Rajendra et al. [7] also found similar result that media which contain BAP observed more number of shoots than media which contain kinetin. Ozel and Arslan [8] also found similar result that the number of shoots decreased with increasing concentration of TDZ in the media. Any increase in the concentration of TDZ in shoot induction media was found inhibitory and had negative effect on shoot regeneration. In SM₁ media, the length of shoot growth was found to be highest at 30 days (1.88 cm) as well as remained statistically at par with SM₆ (1.86 cm)

and SM₇ (1.80 cm). In contrast, SM₀ media the length of shoot growth was found to be lowest at 30 days after inoculation (0.50 cm). The findings of the present study are consistent with research conducted by Pati et al., [9], who reported that increase in length of shoots also increased with the concentration of BAP in media. Xing et al. (2010) also found similar result that 'Tang Red' cultivar of *Rosa* spp. showed more length at concentration of BAP 3 mg/L (2.00 cm) than 4 mg/L (1.16 cm).

3.3 After First Sub-Culture

Shoot buds of 1.55 to 1.85 cm long were subcultured individually into MS solid media supplemented with TDZ, BAP and kinetin at different concentrations showing multiple shoot formation in four weeks periods. The experiment consisted of four repetitions of each treatment, with each repetition containing twenty test tubes. Each test tubes contained one explant, resulting in a total of 80 explants per treatment. The results of shoot multiplication after the first sub-culture are presented in Table 5. Media supplemented with 0.5 mg/L TDZ (SM₁) and 3.0 mg/L BAP (SM₆) were found to be the best for shoot multiplication (100%) as after the first sub-culture, but it remained statistically at par with SM₇ (97.50%) and SM₂ (96.75%). SM₀ was found to be the least effective in shoot multiplication with only 55.00 per cent of shoots multiplying after the initial sub-culture. The SM₁ media treatment resulted in the highest number of shoots with an average of 7.50 shoots per explant followed by SM₆ (7.20), SM₂ (6.50), SM₇ (6.37), SM₃ (5.00), SM₅ (4.32), SM₈ (4.26), SM₉ (3.34) and SM₄ (3.31), while the control treatment had the lowest number of shoots with an average of only 2.41 shoots per explant. The present findings concurred with Nizamani et al. [5]. They noted that the number of shoots increased with increasing concentration of BAP from 0.5 mg/L (5.00) to 2 mg/L (7.00). In the SM₁ media, the shoot exhibited the longest length, with an average of 3.50 cm per shoot SM₆ (3.23 cm), SM₂ (2.80 cm), SM₇ (2.40 cm), SM₃ cm),

Table 7. Effect of various concentrations of TDZ, BAP and kinetin on initiation of shoots and on multiplication of shoots after sub-culture of *Rosa spp*

Treatments	Concentration	Days to shoot initiation	Shoot initiation (%)	Number of shoots per explant	Length of shoot (cm)	Shoot-multiplication (%) after S.C.	Number of shoots after S.C.	Length of shoot (cm) after S.C.
SM ₀ (Control)	-	18.43	58.75	1.33	0.50	55.00	2.41	0.80
SM ₁	0.5 TDZ (mg/L)	8.38	100.00	4.60	1.88	100.00	7.50	3.50
SM ₂	1.00 TDZ (mg/L)	9.68	97.50	3.35	1.50	96.75	6.50	2.80
SM ₃	2.00 TDZ (mg/L)	11.13	87.50	2.48	1.33	85.00	5.00	2.14
SM ₄	1.00 BAP (mg/L)	13.40	72.50	2.20	1.32	67.50	3.31	1.88
SM ₅	2.00 BAP (mg/L)	10.38	96.25	3.32	1.37	88.75	4.32	2.23
SM ₆	3.00 BAP (mg/L)	9.00	100.00	4.53	1.86	100.00	7.20	3.23
SM ₇	4.00 BAP (mg/L)	9.38	98.75	3.35	1.80	97.50	6.37	2.40
SM ₈	0.05 kinetin (mg/L)	12.28	78.75	2.29	1.30	66.25	4.26	1.98
SM ₉	0.1 kinetin (mg/L)	14.40	67.50	1.33	1.22	58.75	3.34	1.75
S.Em. ±		0.22	1.77	0.09	0.05	2.07	0.09	0.07
C.D. at 5%		0.63	4.80	0.25	0.14	5.97	0.26	0.21
C.V.%		3.73	4.12	5.92	6.78	5.07	3.58	6.35




Fig. 1. Percentage of fungal contamination, bacterial contamination and survival for nodal explants of *Rosa* spp




Fig. 2. Effect of various concentrations of TDZ (0.5-2.0 mg/L), BAP (0.0-4.0 mg/L) and kinetin (0.05-0.10 mg/L) on shoot initiation (%) and days to shoot initiation of *Rosa* spp




Fig. 3. Effect of various concentrations of TDZ (0.5-2.0 mg/L), BAP (0.0-4.0 mg/L) and kinetin (0.05-0.10 mg/L) on length and number of shoots of *Rosa* spp

(2.14 SM₅ (2.23 cm), SM₈ (1.98 cm), SM₄ (1.88 cm) and SM₈ (1.98 cm), whereas the SM₀ media treatment resulted in the shortest length, with an average of 0.80 cm per shoot [10]. The present findings concurred with Nizamani et al. (2016). They noted that the shoot length increased with increasing concentration of BAP from 0.5 mg/L (3.57 cm) to 2.0 mg/L (4.79 cm) [11].

4. CONCLUSION

The conclusions drawn from the present study on the micropropagation of *Rosa* spp. through different treatments are that, for sterilization sodium hypochlorite (1%) for 4 min., cefotaxime (0.10%) for 15 min., streptomycin (0.10%) for 15 min.+ carbendazim (0.20%) for 15 min. + mercuric chloride (0.10%) for 4 min is best treatment among all treatments and for shoot regeneration and multiplication MS + 0.50 mg/L TDZ or 3.0 mg/L BAP + 3% Sucrose + 0.8% Agar is best treatment among all the treatments. So, the present findings developed a rapid and efficient protocol for shoot multiplication of *Rosa* spp.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Broertjes C, Van Harten AM. Applied mutation breeding for vegetatively propagated crops (Eds.). In: *Developments in crop science*. Elsevier, Amsterdam. 1988;12:197-204.
2. Britannica: Cosmos Plant Genus; 2023. Available: <https://www.britannica.com/plant/Cosmos-plant-genus> (Accessed on 13/06/2024).
3. Anonymous Center for Monitoring Indian Economy Pvt. Ltd; 2023. Available: <https://commodities.cmie.com> (Accessed on 12/04/2023).
4. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical method for agricultural workers, ICAR, New Delhi. 1995;361.
5. Nizamani F, Nizamani GS, Nizamani MR, Ahmed S, Ahmed N. Propagation of rose (*Rosa hybrida* L.) under tissue culture technique. *International Journal of Biological Research*. 2016;1(1):23-27.
6. Carelli BP, Echeverrigaray, S. An improved system for the *In vitro* propagation of rose cultivars. *Scientia horticultrae*. 2002;92(1):69-74.
7. Rajendra MP, Godara NR, Beniwal VS, Yadav RC. *In vitro* plant regeneration of *Rosa hybrida* L. cv. 'Benjamin Paul' through shoot tip explants. *Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences*. 2004;5(3/4):229-231.
8. Ozel CA, Arslan O. Efficient micropropagation of english shrub rose "Heritage" under *in vitro* conditions. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*. 2006;5:626-629.
9. Pati PK, Rath SP, Sharma M, Sood A, Ahuja PS. *In vitro* propagation of rose A review. *Biotechnology Advances*. 2006;24(1):94-114.
10. Murashige T, Skoog F. A revised medium for rapid growth and bio assays with tobacco tissue cultures. *Physiologia Plantarum*. 1962;15(3):473-497.
11. Xing, W.; Bao, M.; Qin, H. and Ning, G. (2010). Micropropagation of *Rosa rugosa* through axillary shoot proliferation. *Acta Biologica Cracoviensia Series Botanica*. 2010;52(2):69-75.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/129099>