



Impact of Sowing Dates and Bio-Fertilizers on Yield Attributes and Yield Parameters in Okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]

Sindhesh^{a++*}, Ram Batuk Singh^{b#}, P.K Singh^{c#},
Ravi Verma^{d†}, Ankit Kumar Goyal^{a++},
Archana Upadhyay^{a++}, Rahul Verma^{e++}
and Ashutosh Upadhyay^{a‡}

^a Department of Vegetable Science, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, U.P., 208002, India.

^b Department of Vegetable Science, Acharya Narendra Dev University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya, U.P., 224229, India.

^c Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, U.P., 208002, India.

^d School of Agriculture, Lovely Professional University, Jalandhar, Punjab, 144411, India.

^e Department of Agronomy, Banda University of Agriculture and Technology, Banda, U.P., 210001, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i121837>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/129161>

Original Research Article

Received: 28/10/2024

Accepted: 30/12/2024

Published: 30/12/2024

⁺⁺ Ph.D Research Scholar;

[#] Professor;

[†] Assistant Professor;

[‡] Junior Research Fellow;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: sindheshstiwari@gmail.com;

Cite as: Sindhesh, Ram Batuk Singh, P.K Singh, Ravi Verma, Ankit Kumar Goyal, Archana Upadhyay, Rahul Verma, and Ashutosh Upadhyay. 2024. "Impact of Sowing Dates and Bio-Fertilizers on Yield Attributes and Yield Parameters in Okra [*Abelmoschus Esculentus* (L.) Moench]". *Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology* 27 (12):906-16. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i121837>.

ABSTRACT

The field experiment was conducted during the *kharif* seasons of 2022-23 and 2023-24 at the Vegetable Research Farm, Department of Vegetable Science, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kalyanpur, Kanpur to study the impact of sowing dates and bio-fertilizers on yield attributes and yield parameters in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. The experiment was laid out in a Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) with 3 replications. Twelve treatment combinations comprising of three sowing dates viz., D₁ (1st July), D₂ (15th July) and D₃ (30th July) and four levels of bio-fertilizers viz., B₀ Control (No bio-fertilizer), B₁ (*Azotobacter* @ 3 kg/ha), B₂ (PSB @ 3 kg/ha) and B₃ (*Azotobacter* @ 3 kg/ha + PSB @ 3 kg/ha). The results of the study revealed that the treatment combination D₁ (1st July) with B₃ (*Azotobacter* @ 3 kg/ha + PSB @ 3 kg/ha), performed better than other treatments such as yield attributes and yield parameters viz., days to first fruit initiation (45.15 days), days to first picking (46.77 days), number of fruits per plant (26.49), fruit length (13.67 cm), average fruit weight (13.76 g), fruit yield per plant (356.98 g), fruit yield per plot (12.49 kg), fruit yield (132.16 q/ha). On the basis of results, it may be concluded that the sowing date 1st July + *Azotobacter* @ 3 kg/ha + PSB @ 3 kg/ha was found suitable in yield attributes and yield parameters viz., days to first fruit initiation, days to first picking, number of fruits per plant, fruit length (cm), average fruit weight (g), fruit yield per plant (g), fruit yield per plot (kg), fruit yield (q/ha).

Keywords: Biofertilizers; Okra; PSB; sowing dates; yield.

1. INTRODUCTION

Okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench] is an important vegetable crop in tropical, sub-tropical and temperate regions around the world (Arapitsas, 2008). Okra is a warm-season crop because it is grown season during *zaid* and *kharif*. It originated from Ethiopia (Lamont, 1999). Okra belongs to the family Malvaceae and the genus *Abelmoschus*, with chromosome number 2n = 130 as described by (Gadwal, et al., 1968). It is also called Bhindi in India, Lady's finger in England (Ndunguru and Rajabu, (2004). The temperature ranges between 21 to 30°C for growth, flowering, and yield, 35°C for fast germination, fails to below 17°C (Tindal, 1983). It can be grown on wide ranges of soils but well-fertile soil respectively (Akinyele and Temikotan, 2007). In India, it is most commonly grown in Gujarat, West Bengal, Odisha, Bihar, Madhya Pradesh and Uttar Pradesh. Among these states, Gujarat is a leading okra-producing state with an area and production of 85.15 thousand ha and 1019.42 thousand metric tonnes, with productivity of 11.97 MT/ha respectively. Uttar Pradesh covers an area of 24.19 thousand hectares with production of 325.59 thousand metric tonnes and productivity of 13.46 MT/ha (Anonymous, 2007). The nutrient value of okra (per 100 g edible portion) contains 89.6 % moisture, 35 kcal energy, 0.2 g fat, 1.9 g protein, 0.07 mg thiamine, 6.4 g carbohydrates, 0.10 mg riboflavin, 0.6 mg niacin, 13 mg ascorbic acid, 0.7 g minerals like; 6.9 mg sodium, 103 mg

potassium, 56 mg phosphorus, 66 mg calcium, 1.5 mg iron. Dry seeds of okra contain 13-22% edible oil and 20-24% protein (Thamburaj & Singh, 2018). Carbohydrates are available in the form of mucilage (Liu, et al., 2005). Okra is mainly grown to its tender green fruits cooked in curry and consumed as soups. The stems and roots of okra are used to clean sugarcane juice from which gur or jaggery is prepared (Chauhan, 1972). Sowing date also has a great impact on the yield and quality of okra (Moniruzzaman, et al., 2007). Its production and productivity are also affected due to inappropriate sowing dates, nutrients, and severe attack several insect-pests, weeds and diseases (Saha, et al., 1989). For better yield and quality of different crops that depends on sowing time in the proper growth season. Okra also found that seeds sown in July have higher fruit yield compared to late August and October (Yogesh and Gopal, 2001). The plant sown at proper time gets advantage of climatic factors, like; temperature, rainfall and during growth and development. Delayed sowing time causes decreased pod yield of okra (Ghannad, et al., 2014). A good cultivar sown at an improper time given poor yield. Bio-fertilizers are the formulation of living microorganisms such as bacteria, fungi and algae an ability to mobilize nutrients from non-usable forms through biological processes (Tien, et al., 1979). Bio-fertilizers are potential sources of plant nutrition Bio-fertilizers. Used to bio-fertilizers that are efficient safe and organic crop production (Uddin, et al., 2019). In Proper conditions *Azotobacter*

and *Azospirillum* an enhance plant growth and development results promote the yield of crops in different types of soils (Jagnow,1987), (Becking, 1992). *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* are non-symbiotic, free-living, gram-negative, aerobic bacteria that nitrogen-fixing in non-leguminous crops (Kumar, et al., 2017).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted at the Vegetable Research Farm, Department of Vegetable Science, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kalyanpur, Kanpur during the *kharif* seasons of 2022-23 and 2023-24. The experiment was laid out in factorial randomized block design with three replications. Twelve treatment combinations were used, comprising three sowing dates, viz., D₁ (1st July), D₂ (15th July) and D₃ (30th July), and four levels of bio-fertilizers, viz., B₀ Control (No bio-fertilizer), B₁ (*Azotobacter* @ 3 kg/ha), B₂ (PSB @ 3 kg/ha) and B₃ (*Azotobacter* @ 3 kg/ha + PSB @ 3 kg/ha). A soil sample was randomly collected from the experimental field at a depth of 0-15 cm. The collected sample was thoroughly mixed, and a composite soil sample of 500 g was prepared. Subsequently, the sample was analyzed for physical and chemical properties at the soil testing laboratory of Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture & Technology, Kanpur, U.P. The pH was determined using a pH meter, and available nitrogen was measured by the alkaline permanganate method suggested by (Piper, 1966). Available phosphorus and potash were analyzed using Olsen's method (Olsen 1954). and the Flame photometer method, respectively. The electrical conductivity (E.C.) was determined by the Conductivity Bridge as described by (Jackson, 1967). Each plot was 3.15 x 3.0 m in size and 60x 45 cm spacing. The recommended fertilizer dose of 120 kg/ha N, 60 kg/ha P₂O₅ and 60 kg/ha K₂O was applied to the experimental plots. Thinning was done 15 days after sowing, leaving one healthy plant per hill. All recommended cultural practices and plant protection measures were followed throughout the experiment (Chattopadhyay, et al., 2007). Observations of yield attributes and yield parameters were recorded on five randomly selected and tagged competitive plants from each plot in each replication. All parameters were statistically analyzed using the analysis of variance (ANOVA) suggested by (Panse & Sukhatme, 1985).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the present study clearly indicate that the data presented in Tables 2 and 3 demonstrate the effects of different sowing dates and bio-fertilizers, along with their combined effects during the 2022-23 and 2023-24 study. These findings revealed variations in the yield attributes and yield parameters of okra, viz., days to first fruit initiation, days to first picking, number of fruits per plant, fruit length (cm), average fruit weight (g), fruit yield per plant (g), fruit yield per plot (kg), fruit yield (q/ha).

3.1 Yield Attributes and Yield Parameters

3.1.1 Days to first fruit initiation

The data (shown in Table 2) pertaining to the effect of sowing dates and bio-fertilizers on days to first fruit initiation revealed significant differences among sowing dates. In the years 2022-23 and 2023-24, sowing date D₁ (1st July) was recorded the minimum number of days to first fruit initiation (46.21 and 46.09 days), while sowing date D₃ (30th July) was reported the maximum number of days to first fruit initiation (47.75 and 47.63 days). In the case of bio-fertilizers during both years, B₃ (*Azotobacter* @ 3 kg/ha + PSB @ 3 kg/ha) reported the minimum number of days to first fruit initiation (46.15 and 46.01 days), while B₀ showed the maximum number of days (48.15 and 48.06 days). The combination effect of sowing dates and bio-fertilizers demonstrated that D₁B₃ registered the minimum number of days to first fruit initiation (45.21 and 45.09 days), while the maximum number of days was found in D₃B₀ (48.57 and 48.49 days) among the treatments. These results might be attributed to favourable weather conditions during plant vegetative growth and development, as bio-fertilizers enhance nutrient availability in the plant, resulting in reduced number of days for first fruit initiation. Similar findings were reported by (Bake, et al., 2017, Singh, et al., 2018, Chaodhary, et al., 2015).

3.1.2 Days to first picking

In both years 2022-23 and 2023-24, the significant variations in dates of sowing D₁ (1st July) obtained the minimum days to first picking (47.26 and 47.13 days), while the maximum was observed in D₃ (30th July) with 48.95 and 47.84 days, respectively. In the case of bio-fertilizers, significant differences were also noted in both years, B₃ (*Azotobacter* @ 3 kg/ha + PSB @

Table 1. Details of treatments

Treatments	Notation	Treatment combinations
T ₁	D ₁ B ₀	Date of sowing (1 st July) + Control (No bio-fertilizer)
T ₂	D ₁ B ₁	Date of sowing (1 st July) + <i>Azotobacter</i> @ kg/ha
T ₃	D ₁ B ₂	Date of sowing (1 st July) + PSB @ 3 kg/ha
T ₄	D ₁ B ₃	Date of sowing (1 st July) + <i>Azotobacter</i> @ 3 kg/ha + PSB @ 3 kg/ha
T ₅	D ₂ B ₀	Date of sowing (15 th July) + Control (No bio-fertilizer)
T ₆	D ₂ B ₁	Date of sowing (15 th July) + <i>Azotobacter</i> @ 3 kg/ha
T ₇	D ₂ B ₂	Date of sowing (15 th July) + PSB @ 3 kg/ha
T ₈	D ₂ B ₃	Date of sowing (15 th July) + <i>Azotobacter</i> @ 3 kg/ha + PSB @ 3 kg/ha
T ₉	D ₃ B ₀	Date of sowing (30 th July) + Control (No bio-fertilizer)
T ₁₀	D ₃ B ₁	Date of sowing (30 th July) + <i>Azotobacter</i> @ 3 kg/ha
T ₁₁	D ₃ B ₂	Date of sowing (30 th July) + PSB @ 3 kg/ha
T ₁₂	D ₃ B ₃	Date of sowing (30 th July) + <i>Azotobacter</i> @ 3 kg/ha + PSB @ 3 kg/ha

3 kg/ha) reported the earliest days to first picking (47.17 and 47.02 days), while the maximum was found in B₀ (49.18 and 48.84 days). The data pertaining to the interaction effect of sowing dates and bio-fertilizers did not significantly reveal that D₁B₃ reported the minimum number of days to first picking (46.84 and 46.71 days). In comparison, the highest number of days was registered in D₃B₀ (49.97 and 49.74 days), as demonstrated in Table 2. The sowing time has a significant impact on yield attributes, the earliest picking, when seeds were sown on 1st July, might be attributed to favourable weather conditions, such as optimal temperature and good rainfall, which resulted in quick and early flowering. This led to increased photosynthesis and, consequently, enhanced early picking compared to other sowing dates. In the case of bio-fertilizers, the differences might be due to faster and more vigorous vegetative growth, which results in early fruit picking. These findings agree with the results of (Padhiyar, et al., 2023, Sood & Kaur, 2019, Marak, 2023, Kanzariya, et al. 2010).

3.1.3 Number of fruits per plant

The data as illustrated in Table 2, showed significant differences in sowing dates and bio-fertilizers on the number of fruits per plant during both the years 2022-23 and 2023-24, date of sowing, D₁ (1st July) exhibited the highest number of fruits per plant (24.33 and 24.69), while lowest number of fruits per plant (22.04 and 22.39) was reported in D₃ (30th July). In the case of bio-fertilizers, B₃ (*Azotobacter* @ 3 kg/ha + PSB @ 3 kg/ha) registered the maximum number of fruits per plant (24.55 and 25.13), whereas the minimum was reported in B₀ (21.20 and 21.24). The data pertaining to the combined effect of sowing dates and bio-fertilizers in both

years showed that D₁B₃ obtained the maximum number of fruits per plant (26.23 and 26.75), while the lowest was reported in D₃B₀ (20.16 and 20.19). The study indicated that although number of fruits per plant is controlled by genetic material, but also influenced by environmental factors such as temperature, sowing date, soil conditions and agricultural practices. The study clearly indicates that sowing okra seeds during the first week of July is advantageous for obtaining maximum number of fruits and bio-fertilizers also known to produce amino acids, vitamins, growth promoting substances like; IAA and gibberellins that help in better growth and yield. These findings are consistent with previous research by (Ghannad, et al., 2014). (Undie & Litio, 2018, Pandey, et al., 2009, Sundararao & Sinha, 1963).

3.1.4 Fruit length (cm)

From the Table 2 it is clear that in both years 2022-23 and 2023-24, date of sowing D₁ (1st July) reported maximum fruit length (12.33 and 12.68 cm), while minimum fruit length observed (10.71 and 11.02 cm) in D₃ (30th July). In the case of bio-fertilizers, highest fruit length (12.52 and 12.97 cm) was found in B₃ (*Azotobacter* @ 3 kg/ha + PSB @ 3 kg/ha), while the lowest fruit length (9.78 and 9.92 cm) was recorded in B₀. The data pertaining to the interaction effect was also significant on sowing dates and bio-fertilizers of 2022-23 and 2023-24, maximum fruit length exhibited (13.39 and 13.96 cm) in D₁B₃, while minimum fruit length was reported in D₃B₀ (8.98 and 9.14 cm), first and second year, respectively. The first week of July was shown to have been associated with increased fruit length, likely due to favourable environmental conditions (temperatures, rainfall) during this period. Nitrogen is the major constituent of proteins,

Table 2. Days to first fruit initiation, Days to first picking, Number of fruits per plant and Fruit length (cm)

Treatments	Days to first fruit initiation		Days to first picking		Number of fruits per plant		Fruit length (cm)	
	2022-23	2023-24	2022-23	2023-24	2022-23	2023-24	2022-23	2023-24
Effect of dates of sowing								
D ₁	46.21	46.09	47.26	47.13	24.33	24.69	12.33	12.68
D ₂	46.90	46.78	47.53	47.42	23.29	23.71	11.63	11.90
D ₃	47.75	47.63	48.95	47.84	22.04	22.39	10.71	11.02
SE(m) ±	0.182	0.179	0.173	0.190	0.092	0.092	0.045	0.046
CD (P=0.05)	0.538	0.530	0.510	0.559	0.270	0.270	0.133	0.135
Effect of bio-fertilizers								
B ₀	48.15	48.06	49.18	48.84	21.20	21.24	9.78	9.92
B ₁	46.97	46.85	47.55	47.43	23.24	23.67	11.78	12.08
B ₂	46.55	46.41	47.36	47.18	23.88	24.34	12.15	12.50
B ₃	46.15	46.01	47.17	47.02	24.55	25.13	12.52	12.97
SE(m) ±	0.211	0.207	0.200	0.219	0.106	0.106	0.052	0.053
CD (P=0.05)	0.622	0.612	0.589	0.646	0.312	0.312	0.153	0.156
Interaction (Dates of sowing × Bio-fertilizers)								
D ₁ B ₀	47.81	47.72	49.69	49.42	21.92	21.97	10.45	10.59
D ₁ B ₁	46.29	46.18	48.05	47.89	24.02	24.39	12.49	12.71
D ₁ B ₂	45.52	45.38	47.25	47.05	25.15	25.64	12.97	13.45
D ₁ B ₃	45.21	45.09	46.84	46.71	26.23	26.75	13.39	13.96
D ₂ B ₀	48.06	47.98	49.84	49.69	21.51	21.56	9.92	10.04
D ₂ B ₁	46.94	46.78	48.74	48.58	23.45	23.95	11.79	12.14
D ₂ B ₂	46.64	46.51	48.39	48.22	23.79	24.23	12.11	12.39
D ₂ B ₃	45.97	45.83	47.58	47.33	24.41	25.11	12.71	13.02
D ₃ B ₀	48.57	48.49	49.97	49.74	20.16	20.19	8.98	9.14
D ₃ B ₁	47.67	47.59	49.55	49.24	22.25	22.68	11.05	11.38
D ₃ B ₂	47.48	47.33	49.21	48.97	22.71	23.16	11.36	11.65
D ₃ B ₃	47.28	47.11	48.92	48.71	23.02	23.52	11.45	11.93
SE(m) ±	0.365	0.359	0.346	0.379	0.183	0.183	0.090	0.092
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.541	0.540	0.265	0.271

Table 3. Average fruit weight (g), Fruit yield per plant (g), Fruit yield per plot (kg) and Fruit yield (q/ha)

Treatments	Average fruit weight (g)		Fruit yield per plant (g)		Fruit yield per plot (kg)		Fruit yield (q/ha)	
	2022-23	2023-24	2022-23	2023-24	2022-23	2023-24	2022-23	2023-24
Effect of dates of sowing								
D ₁	12.59	13.24	307.54	317.10	10.76	11.10	113.83	117.41
D ₂	12.15	12.38	283.59	293.94	9.92	10.29	105.00	108.83
D ₃	11.56	11.74	255.24	263.27	8.93	9.21	94.47	97.46
SE(m) ±	0.047	0.044	2.418	2.741	0.091	0.085	0.745	0.838
CD (P=0.05)	0.139	0.131	7.139	8.091	0.270	0.249	2.198	2.473
Effect of bio-fertilizers								
B ₀	11.10	11.20	235.39	236.51	8.23	8.27	87.12	87.55
B ₁	12.15	12.67	282.73	292.43	9.89	10.23	104.65	108.29
B ₂	12.43	12.72	297.29	309.04	10.40	10.81	110.05	114.39
B ₃	12.72	13.21	313.10	327.78	10.95	11.47	115.90	121.37
SE(m) ±	0.054	0.051	2.793	3.165	0.106	0.098	0.860	0.967
CD (P=0.05)	0.160	0.151	8.243	9.343	0.312	0.288	2.538	2.855
Interaction (Dates of sowing × Bio-fertilizers)								
D ₁ B ₀	11.39	11.52	249.66	251.11	8.73	8.78	92.38	92.91
D ₁ B ₁	12.59	13.77	302.41	311.46	10.58	10.90	111.95	115.34
D ₁ B ₂	13.05	13.48	328.20	341.78	11.48	11.96	121.48	126.56
D ₁ B ₃	13.34	14.19	349.90	364.06	12.24	12.74	129.52	134.81
D ₂ B ₀	11.12	11.23	239.19	240.17	8.37	8.41	88.57	88.99
D ₂ B ₁	12.24	12.47	287.02	298.65	10.04	10.45	106.24	110.58
D ₂ B ₂	12.41	12.64	295.23	306.26	10.33	10.71	109.31	113.33
D ₂ B ₃	12.82	13.17	312.93	330.69	10.95	11.57	115.87	122.43
D ₃ B ₀	10.78	10.86	217.32	218.25	7.60	7.63	80.42	80.74
D ₃ B ₁	11.63	11.78	258.76	267.17	9.05	9.35	95.76	98.94
D ₃ B ₂	11.82	12.05	268.43	279.07	9.39	9.76	99.36	103.28
D ₃ B ₃	12.01	12.27	276.47	288.59	9.67	10.10	102.32	106.87
SE(m) ±	0.094	0.089	4.837	5.482	0.183	0.169	1.489	1.675
CD (P=0.05)	0.277	0.262	14.278	16.182	0.540	0.499	4.396	4.945

enzymes, hormones, vitamins, alkaloids, and chlorophyll and their synthesis could have been accelerated by the adequate supply of nitrogen in association with bio-fertilizers. This improvement in the plant's growth may be attributed to better root development, mineral uptake, and plant-water relationship. The ability of the microorganisms to fix the atmospheric nitrogen to the soil and make it available to the growing plants. In addition to nitrogen fixation, *Azotobacter* apart from nitrogen-fixation is also responsible for the production of plant hormones like IAA, GA3, and cytokinins like substances which ultimately results in better plant growth and fruit length. Similar findings were also reported by (Padhiyar, et al., 2023, Talukder, et al., 2003, Chaudhary, et al., 2015)

3.1.5 Average fruit weight (g)

During the both years 2022-23 and 2023-24, dates of sowing, D₁ (1st July) recorded maximum average fruit weight (12.59 and 13.24 g), while D₃ (30th July) registered minimum average fruit weight (11.56 and 11.74 g). In the case of bio-fertilizers, the maximum average fruit weight (12.72 and 13.21 g) was reported in B₃ (*Azotobacter* @ 3 kg/ha + PSB @ 3 kg/ha), while the lowest average fruit weight was recorded (11.10 and 11.20 g) in the treatment B₀. The interaction effect was also significant on sowing dates and bio-fertilizers of both years, maximum average fruit weight recorded in D₁B₃ (13.34 and 14.19 g), whereas minimum average fruit weight (10.78 and 10.86 g) was registered in the treatment combination D₃B₀ in the year of 2022-23 and 2023-24, as showed in Table 3 (Fig.1). Variation in the individual fruit weight might be due to the genetic potential of different okra cultivars, it is due to favourable environmental conditions. Plants get more nutrients from the soil and other natural resources from the environment which facilitates proper development of pods. Bio-fertilizer treatments showed a significant effect on yield attributing parameters and yield of okra. Bio-fertilizers containing living and latent cells of efficient strains of *Azotobacter* (nitrogen-fixing), phosphate solubilizing bacteria which augment the availability and access of nutrients leading to higher growth of the plants. The increase in fruit yield with the combined application of *Azotobacter* and PSB might be due to increased availability of both N and P nutrients resulting in higher nutrient uptake with consequent increase in average fruit weight and yield of okra. The results is in close conformity

with that of (Zeb, et al., 2015, Dash, et al., 2013, Bamboriya, et al., 2018).

3.1.6 Fruit yield per plant (g)

In both the years 2022-23 and 2023-24, D₁ sowing date (1st July) exhibited the maximum fruit yield per plant (307.54 and 317.10 g), whereas minimum fruit yield per plant (255.24 and 263.27 g) was recorded in sowing date D₃ (30th July). In the case of bio-fertilizers, B₃ (*Azotobacter* @ 3 kg/ha + PSB @ 3 kg/ha) registered the highest fruit yield/plant (313.10 and 327.78 g) while the lowest in B₀ (235.39 and 236.51 g). The data pertaining to the combination effect of sowing dates and bio-fertilizers in both the years, D₁B₃ exhibited the maximum fruit yield per plant (349.90 and 364.06 g), while minimum fruit yield per plant was reported in the D₃B₀ (217.32 and 218.25 g), as demonstrated in Table 3. This might have resulted from the maintenance of optimum plant population and favourable weather conditions during plant vegetative growth and development. The reason for the increase in fruit yield might be the solubilizing effect of bio-fertilizers as evidence by the increase in the uptake of plant nutrients. Similar results were accordance by (Morwal & Patel, 2017, Meena, et al., 2019, Kumar, et al., 2017).

3.1.7 Fruit yield per plot (kg)

From the Table 3, it is clear that both the years 2022-23 and 2023-24, sowing date, D₁ (1st July) registered the maximum fruit yield per plot (10.76 and 11.10 kg), while the minimum fruit yield per plot (8.93 and 9.21 kg) was observed in D₃ (30th July). In the case of bio-fertilizers, recorded the maximum fruit yield per plot (10.95 and 11.47 kg) in B₃ (*Azotobacter* @ 3 kg/ha + PSB @ 3 kg/ha), while minimum fruit yield per plot (8.23 and 8.27 kg) was recorded in B₀. In respect of the interaction effect of sowing dates and bio-fertilizers, significant results were observed during 2022-23 and 2023-24. The combination D₁B₃ recorded the highest fruit yield per plot (12.24 and 12.74 kg), whereas the lowest fruit yield per plot was registered in the treatment combination D₃B₀ (7.60 and 7.63 kg). This increase in fruit yield could be attributed to environmental conditions, particularly temperature and relative humidity, during the crop growth period. The higher fruit yield with the combined application of *Azotobacter* and PSB might be due to the increased availability of both nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) nutrients,




Fig. 1. Impact of Sowing dates and bio-fertilizers on average fruit weight (g) of okra




Fig. 2. Impact of Sowing dates and bio-fertilizers on fruit yield (q/ha) of okra

leading to higher nutrient uptake and a consequent increase in fruit yield per plot. These results are in accordance with the findings of (Ghannad, et al., 2014, Padhiyar, et al., 2023, Prasad & Naik, 2013, Bamboriya et al., 2018).

3.1.8 Fruit yield (q/ha)

The data shown in Table 3 (Fig. 2) pertaining to the fruit yield (q/ha) reveal that in the years 2022-23 and 2023-24, D₁ Sowing date (1st July), highest fruit yield (113.83 and 117.41 q/ha), while lowest fruit yield (94.47 and 97.46 q/ha) found in the treatment D₃ (30th July). In the case bio-fertilizers, B₃ *Azotobacter* @ 3 kg/ha + PSB @ 3 kg/ha registered the maximum fruit yield (115.90 and 121.37 q/ha), while the minimum fruit yield (87.12 and 87.55 q/ha). The result of the

combination effect of sowing dates and bio-fertilizers was also significant in the first and second years, D₁B₃ produced maximum fruit yield (129.52 and 134.81 q/ha), while minimum fruit yield (80.42 and 80.74 q/ha) recorded in D₃B₀. Delayed sowing in monsoon seasons results in a decreased yield of up to 20-30%. These results might be due to more favourable environmental conditions during all stages of crop growth. Plant growth and yield are largely dependent on biomass production (photosynthesis) and its distribution in various parts of the plant. Integrated use of recommended NPK and seed inoculation with bio-fertilizers, particularly, *Azotobacter*, P-solubilizers (PSB) effect on biomass partitioning. This may be because the biomass accumulated in vegetative parts of the plant at the active

growth stage was efficiently distributed and accumulated later on in the reproductive part (fruits) resulting in an increase in fruit yield quintal per ha. This is in close agreement with the findings of (Bake, et al., 2017, Talukder, et al., 2003, Pandey, et al., 2009, Sahu, et al. 2014.

4. CONCLUSION

On the basis of results, it may be concluded that the application of sowing date 1st July + *Azotobacter* @ 3 kg/ha + PSB @ 3 kg/ha was found to be best than other treatment combinations in the yield attributes and yield parameters viz., days to first fruit initiation, days to first picking, number of fruits per plant, fruit length (cm), average fruit weight (g), fruit yield per plant (g), fruit yield per plot (kg), fruit yield (q/ha). It may be recommended for farmers of the central plain zone of Uttar Pradesh better yield in okra.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declares that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to express their sincere appreciation to the department where this study was conducted for their invaluable support and provision of resources. Your assistance played a pivotal role in the successful completion of this research.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

Akinyele, B. O., & Temikotan, T. (2007). Effect of variation in soil texture on the vegetative and pod characteristics of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). *International Journal of Agricultural Research*, 2(2), 165–169.

Anonymous. (2021). Area and production statistics. National Horticulture Board, Ministry of Agriculture & Farmer Welfare, Government of India.

Arapitsas, P. (2008). Identification and quantification of polyphenolic compounds from okra seeds and skins. *Food Chemistry*, 110(4), 1041–1045.

Bake, I. D., Singh, B. K., Singh, A. K., Moharana, D. P., & Maurya, A. K. (2017). Impact of planting distances and sowing dates on yield attributing traits of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) cv. Kashi Pragati. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 6(7), 4112–4125.

Bamboriya, J. S., Naga, S. R., Yadav, S., Aechra, S., & Yadav, P. K. (2018). Effect of organic manures and biofertilizers on growth, yield attributing characters, and yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 7(4), 276-278.

Becking, J. H. (1992). The family Azotobacteraceae. In *The Prokaryotes: A Handbook on the Biology of Bacteria: Ecophysiology, Isolation, Identification, Applications*. Springer Science, 3144–3170.

Chattopadhyay, A., Dutta, S., Bhattacharya, I., Karmakar, K., & Hazra, P. (2007). *Technology for vegetable crop production*. All India Coordinated Research Project on Vegetable Crops, Directorate of Research, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Kalyani, Nadia, West Bengal, India, 226.

Chauhan, D. V. S. (1972). *Vegetable production in India*. Ram Prasad & Sons Publication, 24–26.

Choudhary, K., More, S. J., & Bhandari, D. R. (2015). Impact of biofertilizers and chemical fertilizers on growth and yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). *The Ecoscan*, 9(1&2), 67–70.

Dash, P. K., Rabbani, Md. G., & Mondal, M. F. (2013). Effect of variety and planting date on the growth and yield of okra. *International Journal of Biosciences*, 3(9), 123-131.

Gadwal, V. R., Joshi, A. B., & Iyer, R. D. (1968). Interspecific hybrids in *Abelmoschus* through ovule and embryo culture. *Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding*, 28(3), 269–274.

Ghannad, M., Madani, H., & Darvishi, H. H. (2014). Responses of okra crop to sowing time, irrigation interval, and sowing methods in Shahrood region. *International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Sciences*, 7(10), 676–682.

- Jackson, M. L. (1967). *Soil chemical analysis*. Prentice Hall India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.
- Jagnow, G. (1987). Inoculation of cereal crops and forage grasses with nitrogen-fixing rhizosphere bacteria: Possible causes of success and failure with regard to yield response—A review. *Zeitschrift für Pflanzenernährung und Bodenkunde*, 150(6), 361–368.
- Kanzariya, H. R., Kapadiya, P. K., Tank, A. K., Giriraj, J., & Kacha, H. L. (2010). Effect of chemical fertilizers and biofertilizer on growth, yield, and quality of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) cv. Gujarat Okra-2. *Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences*, 39(1/2), 165-168.
- Kumar, V., Saikia, J., & Barik, N. (2017). Influence of organic, inorganic, and biofertilizers on growth, yield, quality, and economics of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) under Assam condition. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 6(12), 2565–2569.
- Lamont, W. (1999). Okra: A versatile vegetable crop. *Hort Technology*, 9, 179–184.
- Liu, I. M., Liou, S. S., Lan, T. W., Hsu, F. L., & Cheng, J. T. (2005). Myricetin as the active principle of *Abelmoschus moschatus* to lower plasma glucose in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. *Planta Medica*, 71(7), 617–621.
- Marak, A. C. M. (2023). Effect of different inorganic fertilizers and biofertilizers on growth, yield, and quality of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*, 13(10), 749–758.
- Meena, D. C., Meena, M. L., & Kumar, S. (2019). Influence of organic manures and biofertilizers on growth, yield, and quality of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). *Annals of Plant and Soil Research*, 21(2), 130-134.
- Moniruzzaman, M., Uddin, M. Z., & Choudhury, A. K. (2007). Response of okra seed crop to sowing time and plant spacing in the southeastern hilly region of Bangladesh. *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research*, 32(3), 393–402.
- Morwal, B. R., & Patel, M. C. (2017). Growth and yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) as affected by date of sowing and spacing under North Gujarat condition. *Journal of Krishi Vigyan*, 6(1), 93-96.
- Ndunguru, J., & Rajabu, A. C. (2004). Effect of okra mosaic virus disease on the above-ground morphological yield components of okra in Tanzania. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 99(3–4), 225–235.
- Olsen, S. R., Cole, C. V., Watanabe, F. S., & Dean, L. A. (1954). Estimation of available phosphorus in soil by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. *U.S. Department of Agriculture Circular*, 939.
- Padhiyar, D., Kanzaria, D. R., Senjaliya, H. J., & Vasava, H. V. (2023). Effect of different sowing times and planting distances on okra growth. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 12(7), 2676–2680.
- Padhiyar, D., Kanzaria, D. R., Senjaliya, H. J., & Vasava, H. V. (2023). Effect of different sowing time and planting distance on pod yield and quality of okra. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 12(7), 3155-3158.
- Pandey, S. K., Bahadur, A., Singh, R., & Singh, M. C. (2009). Effect of organic manures and biofertilizers on biomass distribution, growth, and yield of okra. *Vegetable Science*, 36(3s), 415-417.
- Panse, V. G., & Sukhatme, P. V. (1985). *Statistical methods for agricultural workers* (4th ed.). Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi.
- Piper, C. S. (1966). *Soil and plant analysis*. Hans Publisher, Bombay.
- Prasad, P. H., & Naik, A. (2013). Effect of varying NPK levels and bio-fertilizers on growth and yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) under sustainable conditions. *Trends in Biosciences*, 6(2), 167-169.
- Prasad, Y. P., & Singh, G. S. (2001). Effect of nutrition and time of sowing on growth and seed production of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) cv. Parbhani Kranti. *Vegetable Science*, 28(2), 186–187.
- Saha, P. K., Aditya, D. K., & Sharfuddin, A. F. M. (1989). Effects of plant spacing and picking interval on the growth and yield of okra cv. Pusa Sawani. *Bangladesh Journal of Horticulture*, 17(2), 10–14.
- Sahu, A. K., Sanjay Kumar, S. K., & Sutanu Maji, S. M. (2014). Effect of biofertilizers and inorganic fertilizers on vegetative growth and yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 10(2), 558-561.
- Singh, H. M., Mishra, U. S., & Mishra, T. S. (2018). Effect of sowing time and plant spacing on seed production in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) in Madhya Pradesh. *Journal of Krishi Vigyan*, 6(2), 78–80.

- Sood, R., & Kaur, R. (2019). Effect of sowing time on performance of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) varieties under Jalandhar conditions. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 8(12), 568–576.
- Sundararao, W. V. B., & Sinha, M. K. (1963). Phosphate dissolving microorganisms in the soil and rhizosphere. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Science*, 33, 272-278.
- Talukder, M. A. H., Mannaf, M. A., Alam, M. I. K., Salam, M. A., & Amin, M. M. U. (2003). Influence of sowing time, plant spacing, and picking interval. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, 6(18), 1626-1630.
- Thamburaj, S., & Singh, N. (2018). *A textbook of vegetables, tuber crops and spices*. Directorate of Knowledge Management in Agriculture, ICAR, New Delhi, 222–237.
- Tien, T. M., Gaskins, M. H., & Hubbell, D. (1979). Plant growth substances produced by *Azospirillum brasilense* and their effect on the growth of pearl millet (*Pennisetum americanum* L.). *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 37(5), 1016–1024.
- Tindall, H. D. (1983). *Vegetables in the tropics*. McMillan AVI Publishing, 325–327.
- Uddin, A. F. M. J., Rakibuzzaman, M., Wasin, E. W., Husna, M. A., & Mahato, A. K. (2019). Foliar application of *Spirulina* and *Oscillatoria* on growth and yield of okra as bio-fertilizer. *Journal of Bioscience and Agriculture Research*, 22(2), 1840–1844.
- Undie, L. U., Effa, E. B., & Adadu, K. (2018). Influence of planting date and harvesting sequence on growth and fruit/seed yields in West African okra (*Abelmoschus caillei* [A. Chev (Stevels)]). *International Journal of Agriculture and Earth Science*, 4(4), 8-17.
- Zeb, S., Ali, Q. S., Jamail, E., Ahmad, N., Sajid, M., Siddique, S., & Shahid, M. (2015). Effect of sowing dates on the yield and seed production of okra cultivars in Mansehra. *Pure and Applied Biology*, 4(3), 313-317.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/129161>